ORIGINAL 25

0000022344

1 BEFORE THE AREA OF ORPORATION COMMISSION DOCKETED 2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 2002 HAH 28 A 8: 29 **CHAIRMAN** MAR 2 \$ 2002 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER DOCKETED BY 4 MARC SPITZER **COMMISSIONER** 5 DOCKET NO. W-02234A-00-0371 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 6 H20, INC., FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONENIENCE AND NECESSITY 8 DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-99-0583 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-00-0618 JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C. DBA JOHNSON UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION 10 FOR ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER AND 11 WASTEWATER SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC IN THE DESCRIBED AREA IN PINAL COUNTY, 12 ARIZONA 13 DOCKET W-02859A-00-0774 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 14 DIVERSIFIED WATER UTILITIES, INC. TO EXTEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE 15 AND NECESSITY 16 DOCKET NO. W-01395A-00-0784 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 17 **OUEEN CREEK WATER COMPANY TO** EXTEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENEINCE 18 AND NECESSITY PROCEDURAL ORDER 19 BY THE COMMISSION: 20 On September 4, 2001, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued 21 Decision No. 63960 which was subsequently amended by Decision No. 64062 on October 4, 2001 in 22 which it approved the extension of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") of 23 Johnson Utilities, L.L.C. dba Johnson Utilities Company ("JUC" or "Applicant") and the other above-24

27

28

26

25

captioned utilities subject to a number of conditions. One condition required of the respective

utilities is to cure any minor or major violations cited by the Arizona Department of Environmental

Quality ("ADEQ") within 90 days from the date of notice or their respective parcel extensions would

be rendered null and void without further Order of the Commission.

U

On October 16, 2001, ADEQ issued and mailed to Mr. George Johnson, JUC's manager, a Notice of Violation ("NOV"). The NOV issued by ADEQ alleges four violations which were discovered during a review of JUC's file completed on October 5, 2001. The four violations relate to the provision of service by JUC with respect to its Sun Valley Farms Unit V, Public Water System as follows: the failure of JUC, on two occasions, to secure an Approval of Construction ("AOC"); the failure of JUC to secure an Approval to Construct ("ATC"); and the failure of JUC to maintain a log of all onloading, chlorine disinfectant additions and residual free chlorine measurements.

On October 24, 2001, Mr. Patrick C. Williams, the Manager of the Commission's Compliance and Enforcement Section for its Utilities Division ("Staff"), sent a letter to JUC requesting notification of compliance with ADEQ's NOV. Subsequently, the Commission did not receive any comments or responses from JUC.

On January 18, 2002, Mr. Williams sent another letter to JUC informing it that, pursuant to the Decision No. 63960 as amended, due to Applicant's failure to timely cure the deficiencies cited by ADEQ, JUC's extension of its Certificate granted therein was null and void as of January 16, 2002. In his letter, Mr. Williams cited a discussion he had with an ADEQ official.

On January 24, 2002, JUC responded stating that it believed it was in compliance with Decision No. 63960 as amended.

On January 28, 2002, JUC filed a request for a retroactive extension of time to comply with Decision No. 63960 as amended and for an Order declaring that the Decisions remained in full force and effect since their issuance. In its request, JUC stated that it did not receive Mr. Williams' letter of October 24, 2001 and that on January 23, 2002, counsel for JUC had written the Commission advising it that he believed that all violations had been "cured" prior to the expiration of the 90 day deadline required in the Commission's Decision as amended.

Attached to JUC's request for a retroactive extension were copies of an ATC and an AOC issued by ADEQ and what appears to be a partially complete chlorination log for the system in question. JUC also attached a copy of an affidavit of Mr. Michael L. Denby, an attorney for the Applicant who indicated that he had been informed by William DePaul, the Environmental Enforcement Coordinator at ADEQ who had signed the NOV and who Mr. Williams had spoken with

earlier, that ADEQ neither required nor expected JUC to undertake any additional actions to comply with the October 16, 2001 NOV. However, Mr. Denby went on to state in his affidavit that Mr. DePaul had further indicated the matter was not closed and ADEQ would continue to pursue some form of order to finalize and close the NOV. JUC failed to submit any documentation from ADEQ that the matter involving the October 16, 2001 NOV had been concluded. In closing, JUC requested a prompt resolution as certain developers, in order to secure their financing, will be required to show that the Company's Certificate is in full force and effect in order to secure financing.

On February 6, 2002, Staff filed a response to JUC's request for a retroactive extension of time in order to comply with Decision No. 63960 as amended. In its response, Staff indicates that it does not oppose a limited extension of time for JUC to document that the NOV has been satisfactorily resolved. Staff pointed out that, in order to do this satisfactorily, JUC will have to provide documentation from ADEQ that its October 16, 2001 NOV has or will be resolved to ADEQ's satisfaction. Staff recommended that JUC be given a retroactive extension of time for compliance until February 22, 2002 and that JUC be ordered to notify all parties in the above-captioned proceeding of its requested extension by serving a copy of its request on all parties to the proceeding and to provide certification of same to the Commission. Additionally, Staff recommended that, if JUC does not submit written documentation from ADEQ by February 22, 2002 stating that all violations cited in the October 16, 2001 NOV have been cured, the Certificate issued to JUC in Decision No. 63960 as amended shall remain null and void.

On February 8, 2002, JUC filed its response to that of Staff. Therein, Applicant attached documentation in the form of a copy of a letter from Mr. DePaul to Mr. Williams. The letter states that events resulting in the violations "had been addressed and were no longer continuing to accrue daily penalties. However, the NOV identifying the violations remains unresolved . . ." because the parties have not yet held face to face meetings. JUC also attached a copy of an ADEQ Compliance Status Report dated January 24, 2002, for its Sun Valley Public Water System that is marked, "No Major Deficiencies," and that states the system's water met the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

1

2

3

4

concerning the status of the violations.

As of February 22, 2002, Staff had not filed any further comments on this matter¹.

On February 22, 2002, by Procedural Order, an extension of time was granted to JUC until

March 29, 2002, to show compliance with Decision No. 63960 as amended. JUC was also ordered to

provide notice to the parties to the proceeding of its request herein and to provide a written statement

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the NOV had been "cured."

4

from ADEQ that stated that all violations cited in the October 16, 2001 NOV had been cured. Staff

was directed to file by March 15, 2002, its response to any ADEQ documentation that was filed

On February 26, 2002, JUC filed certification that notice had been provided to all parties herein by mailing each of them a copy of its request.

On March 11, 2002, a copy of a letter from Mr. DePaul of ADEQ was filed with the Commission. Mr. DePaul stated "... all violations cited in the October 16, 2002 NOV have been "cured" on or before January 14, 2002. . . "

On March 14, 2002, Staff filed a Memorandum stating that it believes JUC is in compliance with Decision No. 63960 as amended.

Accordingly, based on ADEQ's documentation and Staff's Memorandum dated March 14, 2002, the violations cited by ADEQ have been cured in a timely fashion. Therefore, JUC is in compliance with Decision No. 63960 as amended and JUC's Certificate approved therein is valid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Johnson Utilities, L.L.C. dba Johnson Utilities Company is in compliance with Decision No. 63960 as amended by Decision No. 64062 and the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity approved therein is valid.

DATED this Ø day of March, 2002.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

On February 11, 2002, Mr. Williams wrote a letter to Mr. DePaul requesting clarification whether the violations cited in

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of March, 2002 to:	
2		
3	Richard L. Sallquist	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
4	SALLQUIST & DRUMMOND 2525 East Arizona Biltmore Circle, Ste. A1	
5	Phoenix, AZ 85016 Attorneys for Johnson Utilities, L.L.C.	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	Jay Shapiro	Ernest Johnson, Director
7	Karen E. Errant FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
8	3003 N. Central, Ste. 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Attorneys for H2O Water Company	Richard Tobin
10	Charles A. Bischoff JORDAN & BISCHOFF	Deputy Director ADEQ
11	7272 E. Indian School Road, Ste. 205 Scottsdale, AZ 85251	3033 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012
12	Attorneys for Queen Creek Water Company	Bill DePaul
13	William Sullivan MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C. 2712 N. 7 th Street	Enforcement Coordinator Drinking Water Compliance and Enforcement
14	2712 N. 7 th Street Phoenix, AZ 85008	Unit ADEQ
15	Petra Schadeberg	3033 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012
16	PARTNERSHIP	LTD.
17	3408 N. 60 th Street Phoenix, AZ 85018	By: Molly Idenson
18	Richard N. Morrison	Molly Johnson Secretary to Marc E. Stern
19	SALMON, LEWIS & WELDON 4444 N. 32 nd Street, Ste. 200 Phoenix, AZ 85018	
20		
21	Kathy Aleman, Manager WOLFCOR, L.L.C. & WOLFKIN FARMS Southwest Properties, Inc.	S
22	3850 E. Baseline Road, Ste. 123 Mesa, AZ 85026	
23	Dick Maes, Project Manager	
24	VISTOSO PARNERS, L.L.C. 1121 W. Warner Road, Ste. 109	
25	Tempe, AZ 85284	
26		
27		
28		